

## REMARKS

Claims 51-70 are pending in this application. In a final office action dated 5 01/21/2010, Examiner has rejected claims 51-70 for 103(a) obviousness reasons over Treyz in view of Lineham.

An in-person interview with the Examiner was requested by the applicant to understand the basis of examiners statement in his Final OA dated 1-14-2010 to 10 understand the basis of these statements underlying the rejection of the claims 51-70.

The in-person interview was held at the USPTO office, on 2-19-2010. An Interview summary is being filed with this amendment.

15 What was discussed in the interview were claims 51-70 and the Treyz prior art. Applicant explained to the Examiner the difference between the cited prior art Treyz et al and the claims 51-70 of the current invention to the examiner and why these differences do not support Examiner's rejections of claims 51-70 and examiner's response to Applicant's argument in the Final OA of 1-21-2010.

20 Applicant proposed and Examiner agreed to receive an Amendment after Final to make non-substantive amendments to the claims. Examiner agreed to review the Amendment after Final response and discuss the matter with his SPE for further consideration.

25 Applicant has amended claims 51, 63 and 68-70 to better define the scope of the invention in view of in-person interview with the Examiner' on 2-19-2010. These amendments delete part of the functional language at the end of the claims 51, 63 and 68, as it was not considered necessary in view of the cited prior art differentiation.

30

Further to better define the scope of the claims, amendments to claims 51, 63, 68 to 70 with "means for" language in the claims have been amended to remove the use of such "means for" language.

5       Applicant believes that no new matter has been added and no substantive changes have been made to the claims in this amendment that would require a new search.

10

### CONCLUSION

In conclusion, Applicant respectfully asserts that claims 51 to 70 are patentable for the reasons set forth above, and that the application is now in a condition for allowance. Accordingly, an early notice of allowance is respectfully requested. The Examiner is 15 requested to call the undersigned at 310-540-4095 for any reason that would advance the instant application to issue.

Dated this the February 27th, 2010

20

Respectfully submitted,



Tara Chand Singhal

Applicant

25

P O Box 5075

Torrance, California 90510

Telephone: (310) 540-4095

RespToOa01-21-2010

30